

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 2313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,764	09/27/2005	Alexander Dardin	278069US0PCT	1825	
OBLON SPIX	7590 08/28/200 /AK. MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			VASISTH, VISHAL V		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2000	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/550,764	DARDIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VISHAL VASISTH	1797		

	VISHAL VASISTH	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. 	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\times \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:disclosure Statement}).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797	Vishal Vasisth 8/20/2009	9	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants amendment were done to correct mior informalities in the claims and did not change the scope of the claims. As such applicants' arguments are addressed below. Applicants argue that Mishra does not necessarily need polymers that read on formulas (I) and (II) as recited in instant claim 1. This argument is not persuasive. Mishra discloses that monomer (a) or (b) has to be present wherein monomer (a) reads on formula (II) therefore meeting the claim limitation. One of ordinary skill in the art would envisage that monomer (a) and monomer (c) can be used in conjunction from the disclosure of Mishra.

Applicants also argue that Mishra prefers the use of random polymers and that the examples and polydisperity indicate this preference. This argument is also not persuasive. Mishra clearly states in column 4, lines 9-16 that the process in which the polymers of Mishra are prepared allows for block optimers.

Finally, applicants argue that Mishra does not disclose that the copolymer of the block type wherein the polar component is present in a block of at least three repeating units. The Ni-dimethylaming propyl methacylamides and Ni-diethylaming propyl methacylamides and disclosed in Mishra can be used along with the other monomer which can be prepared to form block copolymers and one of ordiany skill in the art would envisage that the armine-based methacylamides could also have at least 3 expectation units form disclosure of kinshra.

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797